Name: Stephen Paul Watson
Address: 101 Rainbow Drive #11203
Livingston, Texas, TX. 77399
Phone:
Fax: n/a
In Pro Per

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Stephen Paul Watson, Pro Se. Plaintiff v. US Department of Justice Defendant(s).	CASE NUMBER: To be supplied by the Clerk of The United States District Court COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF RIGHTS (Non-Prisoner Complaint)

Background

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

OTHER FEDERAL QUESTION

Common Article 3, War Crimes/18 U.S. 2441

The Cause of Action on 04/13/2026; 4/16/2026; 05/16/2026, 04/03/2024, 07/01/2025 clearly show torture which is a war crime in an intra-state war the Government has declared - War on Terror. The Plaintiff argues Government intentionally polarized the citizenry using algorithms - computational propaganda in a civil war, intra-state war against the Plaintiff. The civl war is between Federal authorities, government grifters like Palantir, technocratcs (technology fascist) against the low income, homeless in a K-shaped economy class warfare. 18 U.S.C 2441 requires Attorney General et al. to certify prosecution of war crimes. The 2022 amendment expanded jurisdiction to US regardless of nationality. The Justice Department is responsible for the FBI. If systematic targeting the Plaintiff alleges are occurring through the FBI Task Force the Justice Department bear ultimate responsibility if there is a grave breach of the Geneva Conventions. The Plaintiff complaining to the State Department because what is being alleged is similar to the Havana Syndrome or AHI ' s, he is referred back to the FBI who the Plaintiff determined are the more likely perpetrators trying to discredit, entrap (5D ' s degrade, discredit, diminish..) using intermediate force because of the Plaintiff ' s UFO speech and government playing up UAP threats. The Plaintiff in the past did file an online tip to the FBI regarding directed energy but there was no response. A recent civil rights complaint to the OCR DoJ was declined based on jurisdiction stating they deal with recipients of Federal financial assistance. A civil rights complaint to the DoJ in 2025 received a boiler print response six months later when the Plaintiff was seeking injuctive relief to what constitutes as torture. The DoJ not implicating itself or FBI of war crimes if alleged abuse is occuring should result in a default judgement/summary judgement for the Plaintiff because they are not serving the public interest.